

From: [Deb Gona](#)
To: [Tomczuk, Susan M.CIV](#)
Subject: [ERAC FY 2014 - Overall Activity] Federal Register request for comments: Coast Guard ICR to OMB re State Registration Data
Date: Friday, October 17, 2014 4:17:06 PM

Reply ABOVE THIS LINE to add a comment to this message

Project: [ERAC FY 2014 - Overall Activity](#)
Company: NASBLA



Deb Gona posted a new message:

[Federal Register request for comments: Coast Guard ICR to OMB re State Registration Data](#)

APOLOGIES to those of you for whom this will be duplicate information as a similar notification was sent via email to the BLAs today.

SUMMARY: **60-day Notice** of the Coast Guard's intent to submit an Information Collection Request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) requesting approval of an existing collection of information in use without an OMB control number -- **State Registration Data**

Deadline for public comments: **Dec. 8, 2014**

Refer to **Docket USCG-2014-0713** in commenting via www.regulations.gov or via any of the other means identified in the Notice

Uploaded for your reference: Federal Register Notice (published Tues., Oct. 7) and Supplemental Material (posted today, Fri., Oct. 17)

ERAC members and partners:

This is the Notice that federal agencies publish when they are getting ready to request approval from the OMB of an existing or new collection of information. The purpose is to solicit public comment on the utility and other aspects of the data. In this case, the Coast Guard's ICR is regarding the collection of the **States' Vessel Registration Data**.

The item was published on Oct. 7, the same day as the Notice regarding the RBS Grants' Areas of Interest. However, we held this one for distribution until we could also provide additional information on the Notice. As you will see when you review it, the areas to be addressed in the comments are pretty standard for such a request and appear on p. 60483 of the Notice.

Last week, I posed a few questions to Susan Tomczuk in an effort to gather additional information. My questions were in the vein of "is it just the periodic

request to approve the continuation of the collection of data?" "is it being published as part of the process to get OMB to issue a control number?" "is it in preparation for the collection of registration data under the "new" vessel terms authorized by the Final Rule on SNS, VIS, BARD (effective Jan. 2017)?"

Susan wanted to accommodate and get the answers to my specific questions posted so that all could have access to the same information. Unfortunately, protocol (apparently) does not allow that, and she learned from the Notice Manager that my questions and her responses would not be posted to the docket until *after* the comment deadline..... However, Susan did request the posting of supplemental material to the docket as she believed it would ultimately answer some of the questions. It appeared on regulations.gov today; it is the accompanying Paperwork Reduction Act Submission, which is also uploaded here.

Long story short given my reading of the material: the ICR is regarding an "existing" collection of information in that the states have been providing the Coast Guard with vessel registration data over time; however, it is also "new" in that the collection--and the collection form--is being affected by the changes in CFR vessel terminology that came about as a result of the Final Rule on SNS, VIS and BARD issued on March 28, 2012, and which will become effective January 2017 [for background, see all of the detail at www.nasbla.org/nprm].

I want to call particular attention to two items in the Paperwork Reduction Act submission (the supplemental material). The first, although there is no reference to it in the Notice itself, is a revised state registration data form for state submission to the USCG (p. 3 of the document). The second is the Q&A in the Supporting Statement (pp. 4-6 of the document... the yellow highlighting is not mine).

I think that I can safely say that NASBLA will craft an organizational response; I presume that some combination of ERAC and VIRT committee member expertise will play a role in drafting the content, so we'll keep you updated. However, as you or folks in your state review the items, it would be very helpful to learn of any questions raised and/or the kinds of comments your states will be providing.

Thanks,
Deb



[Notice ICR state registration data Dec 8 deadline USCG 2014 0713.pdf](#)

181 KB



[USCG 2014 0713 supplemental materials.pdf](#)

224 KB

Gona, Dick Snyder, Eric Lundin, Ernie Marshburn, Eugene Molteni, Fred Messmann, Gary Haupt, Glenn Moates, Jeff Ludwig, Joe McCullough, Johanna Naughton, John Adey, Karen Steely, Kris Wahlers, Larry Bowling, Mark Richerson, Matt Wienold, Paul Newman, Pete Chisholm, Philippe Gwet, Rachel Graham, Rachel Warner, Rachel Zechenelly, Robert Sweet, Seth Wagner, Susan Tomczuk, Tammy Terry, Ted Sensenbrenner, and William Griswold.

[Stop receiving emails](#) when comments are posted to this message. [Prefer plain text emails?](#)

Delivered by [Basecamp](#)

