

Q1. What is your full name?

Q2. Select your role with regard to EPSCoR

- Project Director
- Project Administrator
- Principal Investigator
- Other

Q3. What years have you served in this capacity?

Q4. For how many funding iterations have you served in this capacity?

Q5. Please include the names and titles for all respondents who contributed toward answering the questions in this funding iteration.

Q6. Has there been an overarching strategy used to unite this EPSCoR RII funding iteration with previous ones?

- Yes
- No
- Not Applicable

Q6a. How are these decisions made? For example, did your jurisdiction build on strengths established in previous funding cycles? Did your jurisdiction identify that sufficient strength had been built in an area, thus the decision was made to shift toward something new?

Q7. How did your jurisdiction identify research themes for this RII proposal submission?

- There were no overarching themes identified
- Research themes were selected based on white papers submitted by investigators
- The state committee identified research themes and invited investigators to participate
- Other, please describe

Q8. How has EPSCoR contributed toward aligning the jurisdiction's science and technology needs with the research priorities of the university and its researchers?

Q9. What strategies have been most successful in bridging jurisdiction/university needs and priorities around research competitiveness, workforce- and economic- development?

Q10.

For *Doctoral Granting Institutions*: How has the academic infrastructure of your university been strengthened during this funding iteration?

Q11.

For *Primarily Undergraduate Institutions*: How has the academic infrastructure of your college or university been strengthened during this funding iteration?

Q12.

For *Minority Serving Institutions (Historically Black Colleges and Universities, Hispanic Serving Institutions, Tribal Colleges)*: How has the academic infrastructure of your college or university been strengthened during this funding iteration?

Q13.

For *Community Colleges*: How has the academic infrastructure of your college been strengthened during this funding iteration?

Q14. Has there been investment in large-scale facilities or infrastructure (\$50k or above) during this funding iteration?

- Yes
- No

Q14a. Please describe how those acquisitions have affected both EPSCoR-supported and non-EPSCoR-supported researchers across the jurisdiction.

Q15. In this funding iteration, did your jurisdiction initiate any independent research entities (e.g. centers or institutes)?

Yes

No

Q15a. Describe the ways in which these independent research entities (e.g. centers or institutes) have been (or will be) sustained following the sun-setting of any given EPSCoR award. Please detail how the EPSCoR award facilitated establishing these, including details on whether and how other forms of grant-supported activities were leveraged for the attainment of new support. Please include details regarding specific forms of support which have been awarded in order to sustain any activities borne out of EPSCoR.

Q16. In this funding iteration, has there been a difference in the support offered by the following offices at your institution?

	Yes	No
Office of Sponsored Research	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Office of Technology Transfer	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Institutional Review Board	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Other <input type="text"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Other <input type="text"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Other <input type="text"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Q16a. For those offices that you answered "yes," how has their support changed over time?

Q17. Are there new offices on campus which provide research or policy support which EPSCoR was instrumental in starting?

- Yes
- No

Q17a. Please describe how these offices are currently supported on your campus.

Q18. Have you been able to attract more competitive tenure track candidates than other searches at your institution due to the availability of EPSCoR funds?

- Yes
- No

Q19. How has EPSCoR changed the culture of research collaboration amongst researchers in the jurisdiction?

Q20. Have there been large-scale and ongoing collaborations built between researchers in your jurisdiction and major federal laboratories (e.g. NCAR, the DOE national laboratories) or national resources (e.g. TeraGrid, NEON) to conduct EPSCoR-related research?

- Yes
- No

Q20a. Please describe and specify the nature of the collaboration.

Q21. Is there anything else you would like to report with specific reference to research collaborations?

Q22. Describe the specific strategies which have been employed in your jurisdiction to stimulate jurisdiction-wide innovation.

Q23. Are there specific examples of EPSCoR research that have been taken forward in to commercial development?

- Yes
- No

Q23a. Please Describe specific examples of EPSCoR research that have been taken forward in to commercial development.

Q24. Please describe any examples of EPSCoR research that has already influenced public policy decisions in your jurisdiction? (These may be related to land use, urban planning, watershed remediation, or other types of activities with ties back to EPSCoR-supported research).

Q25. Is there anything else which was not covered in these questions that you would like to share with regard to the trajectory your jurisdiction has been on since first becoming eligible for EPSCoR?

We thank you for your time spent taking this survey.
Your response has been recorded.